

CESO Event Evaluation

Facilities Development

2003 Fall Seminars

Content

	Information has value for my work	Content matched written description	Instructional methods were appropriate for content	Handouts added value	Course met my expectations
Average	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.3
Minimum	3	3	3	2	3

(Total 28 forms)

Speakers

Average Score

John Leung	4.54
Murray Rice	3.93
Nick Joosten	4.43

(1- Poor, 5- Excellent)

Comments

What were the best aspects	Other comments	Course/Seminar suggestions
----------------------------	----------------	----------------------------

John Leung's presentation

Course with related to bioinformatics

John Leung's presentation style

Interesting, Educational

More interaction from audience

Speakers brought different points of view so able to answer wider variety of questions

Real life examples

Subject matter, depth

Knowledge of the speakers

Description of O.R.

New technology information

More illustration

Question answer session

Discussions of usability, communication systems, benefits of isolation of biomed from centralized computer based systems

Learning from other's experience, going through the process

More time for questions and interaction

Broad coverage of hospital wide project

PACS, Networking

The whole process was very informative, thank you.

Questions & answers

Cysto, Network

Especially enjoyed the telemetry presentation

Slides did not match the handouts for one presentation

RF Interference

Comments**What were the best aspects**

Great overview of facilities development

The personalized stories (John's), interesting case studies for all.

The topics were applicable to all involved in the conference

Configuration and Installation of equipment. OR and RF equipment

The details of the plan, challenges, problems encountered

Other comments

There was quite a lot of overlap between presentations, more time for panel discussion.

Stop questions, interruptions in the middle of talks

Course/Seminar suggestions

Imaging technologies, OR technologies

PM and reorganizing a good ??? Of equipment and evaluation of technology